

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5372 of 2018
Date of decision: 06.3.2018**

Satish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. R.K Malik, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion, confined to respondent No.1 only, at this stage.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional A.G. Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1. Copy supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The petitioner is employed as Assistant Registrar in the Cooperative Department, Haryana. Pursuant to an order dated 25.11.2016 (Annexure P-1), he was transferred from Jhajjar to Gurugram vice Rishi Kumar (respondent No.2). The limited grievance that he has is; that he had barely served for a year and three months at Gurugram when, vide impugned order dated 27.2.2018 (Annexure P-3), he has again been transferred to Jhajjar vice respondent No.2. It is urged that transfer of the petitioner is in

CWP No. 5372 of 2018

-2-

breach of the Government Policy dated 25.4.1978 (Annexure P-2). Further, mother-in-law of the petitioner is suffering from multiple health issues, and is since hospitalised. It is submitted that in the absence of any male member, the petitioner only requires a period of three months, to serve her during her ailments. And, would have no grievance to join at his new place of posting, thereafter.

In response, learned State counsel submits that this petition itself shall be treated as representation on behalf of the petitioner, and the respondent-department shall consider and decide the same, by passing appropriate orders, in accordance with law, within two days from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. For the petitioner has not yet been relieved, pursuant to the impugned order, he shall continue at Gurugram, till the respondent-department pass appropriate orders, as indicated above.

06.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO