

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 5269 of 2018

Date of Decision: 06.03.2018

Tara Chand

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Jangvir S. Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The present petition is disposed of with the liberty to the petitioner to invoke his remedy under Rule 16.31 of the Punjab Police Rules, 1934 as applicable to Haryana against the order of the Inspector General of Police, Rewari and explain reasons for the delay in approaching the Court and filing the first appeal and the delay that will be occasion if the revision is filed. If such a revision is filed, the Director General of Police, Haryana would examine the reasons for delay and laches and in case he is convinced that the petitioner was prevented by sufficient cause then he may examine the order of punishment on the doctrine of proportionality.

I have no doubt that the Director General of Police, Haryana will in case of revision is presented, would examine the order of the Inspector General of Police, Rewari, Haryana to see if delay could have been condoned in order to do substantial justice in a case of major punishment whereby 5 increments with future effect have been withheld with permanent effect. I am told that the petitioner has taken

voluntary retirement.

With these comments, the petition is disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

06.03.2018
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*