

254

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5225 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.07.2018

REKHA GORAY

..... Petitioner

Versus

THE STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. D.P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mrs. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 & 2/State.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This court, while issuing notice of motion vide order dated
27.03.2018, had passed the following order:-

*“ Petitioner has competed for appointment as
Punjabi Mistress in Scheduled Caste (R&O) Category
pursuant to the 40 posts advertised in the said category vide
advertisement dated 10.09.2017. The last candidate selected in
the said category has secured 137 marks. The petitioner has
not been included in the merit list having secured 136 marks.
The result was to be compiled based on the marks secured in
the written test.*

*The dispute raised is qua the question no.88
which reads as under:-*

88. Synonym of word “Umang”

(a) Salangha (Appreciation) (b) Adhura (Incomplete)

(c) Tarang (wave) (d) Tang

*It is contended that the Answer Key to the
question No.88 of Set-B has been wrongly assigned as option
C, whereas the correct answer as per ordinary prudence as
well as the published books by the Punjab School Education*

Board would be option D. It is further contended that the petitioner having giving the correct answer of Option D is entitled to one additional Mark, whereby she falls within the zone of appointment in the said category.

Notice of motion to respondent nos.1 & 2 only for 25.04.2018.

On the asking of the Court Mrs. Lavanya Paul, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners undertakes to furnish three copies of writ petition to the learned State Counsel during the course of the day.

In the meanwhile, one post of Punjabi Mistress in the reserved category of SC (R&O) shall be kept in abeyance for adjustment of the petitioner.

Copy of this order be given to the learned State Counsel under the signatures of Bench Secretary.

To be shown in urgent. ”

Upon notice, a reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 & 2/State has been filed, wherein in para No.10, it is stated that the disputed question No.88 was referred to the Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar and after got re-checked by the subject expert, the same is found as 'Correct'.

At the time of hearing, counsel for the petitioner states that the present petition has become infructuous as the offer of appointment has been issued to the petitioner.

Dismissed as infructuous.

July 06, 2018
'rajneesh'

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No