

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5160 of 2018
Date of decision: 06.03.2018

Phulpati

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was employed by the respondent-department as Part-time Sweeper on 21.09.1989. Her services were regularized w.e.f. 17.01.2012, pursuant to an order dated 08.05.2012 (Annexure P2). The limited grievance that she has is; that persons, who were appointed subsequent to her, have been regularized w.e.f. 27.01.1999, vide order dated 02.08.2017 (Annexure P7). And, therefore, services of the petitioner too ought to have been regularized from the same date i.e. 27.01.1999. The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the legal notice dated 22.09.2017 (Annexure P8), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also the legal notice dated 22.09.2017 (Annexure P8), within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified

copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.
Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

06.03.2018
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO