

143

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5146-2018

Date of decision-22.03.2018

Ram Kumar Thakur

...Petitioner

Vs.

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Harpinder Kaur Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefit of promotional increment(s) to the petitioner in view of the judgments passed by this Court as reflected in Annexures P-10 and P-11.

Learned counsel for petitioner states that case of the petitioner is covered by the ratio of law laid down in the judgment passed by this Court in CWP-8772-2015 titled as “**Charan Dass Vs. State of Punjab and others**” decided on 11.07.2017. Further, she states that at this stage she would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Chief Engineer/Operation, West Zone, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., Bathinda to consider and decide the legal notice dated 22.02.2018 (Annexure P-4) in view of Charan Dass's case (supra).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Chief Engineer/Operation, West Zone, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., Bathinda to consider and decide the legal notice dated 22.02.2018 (Annexure P-4) in view of Charan Dass's case (supra) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

22.03.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No