

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.5080 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: MARCH 01, 2018

KRISHNA DEVI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J

Instant petition has been preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to pay/release the retiral/monetary and other benefits i.e. DCRG, leave encashment, GPF etc. along with 18% interest for which the petitioner is entitled after the death of her husband.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents, to decide legal notice dated 31.01.2018 (Annexure P-1) duly served upon the respondents, within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents to consider all the aspects mentioned in the legal notice dated 31.01.2018 (Annexure P-1) and

take a conscious decision in accordance with law and Rules, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies as well as to approach this Court.

MARCH 01, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>