

126

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-5044-2018

Date of decision-01.03.2018

Mulkha Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to continue with his services as a Home Guard and decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director General of Police-cum-Commandant General, Punjab Home Guards & Director Civil Defence, 17 Bays Building, Sector-17, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated nil (Annexure P-5).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director General of Police-cum-Commandant General, Punjab Home

Guards & Director Civil Defence, 17 Bays Building, Sector-17, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated nil (Annexure P-5) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

01.03.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No