

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5043 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: MARCH 01, 2018**

SUNITA RANI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to reimburse the medical bills of the husband of the petitioner along with 18% interest, for which the petitioner is entitled after the death of her husband.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 05.02.2018 (Annexure P-2) was duly served upon the respondents but till date no response has been received. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case, direction is issued to respondents No. 2 and 3, to decide aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the

instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents No.2 and 3, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 05.02.2018 (Annexure P-2) and take a conscious decision in accordance with law and Rules, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies as well as to approach this Court.

MARCH 01, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>