

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.5037 of 2018

Date of Decision: 27.03.2018

Sanjeev

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Vijay Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

This petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated February 15, 2018 submitted by the petitioner to the respondents claiming that there is “unmet period” in Commerce as per information supplied by the College under the RTI Act to one Keshav Kumar (Annex P-2). The petitioner was replaced in January 2018 when regular teachers were posted to the school including the subject of Commerce. Let the decision be taken within a few days and the same be conveyed to the petitioner. The authority would explain to the petitioner the information supplied under the RTI Act.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

27.03.2018

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No