

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 5035 of 2018
Date of Decision: 11.09.2018

M/S SANGRUR RICE MILLS

....Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.

Present: Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The prayer made in this petition is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the case of the petitioner in terms of the Policy of the State Government dated 07.09.2017.

After notice of motion, respondents have put in appearance and filed reply alongwith the decision taken in regard to the amount to be paid by the petitioner in terms of the Policy dated 07.09.2017. The said decision dated 05.03.2018 is annexed at (Annexure R-5/1).

Learned counsel for the respondents-MARKFED has submitted that the MARKFED has already taken the decision in regard to settling of accounts of the petitioner in terms of the said Policy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the said decision is totally wrong.

Be that as it may, the present petition is filed by the petitioner for directing the respondents to decide his case in terms of the Policy dated 07.09.2017 and with the decision dated 05.03.2018 taken by the MARKFED, this petition has become infructuous. In view thereof, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed that the petitioner may be allowed to challenge the validity of the order/decision dated 05.03.2018 (Annexure R-5/1).

The prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is totally innocuous, therefore, petitioner is permitted to challenge the validity of the order/decision dated 05.03.2018 (Annexure R-5/1), in accordance with law, if so advised.

September 11, 2018

Ess Kay

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	/	No