

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 4992 of 2018
Date of Decision 01.3.2018**

Sonu Sharma

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Gurnam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner was appointed as Peon (class-IV), pursuant to an order dated 26.4.2011 (Annexure P-3), and continued as such till 30.9.2014, whereafter his services were dispensed with. It is urged that undoubtedly, the nature of employment of the petitioner was contractual, but pursuant to an advertisement dated 11.2.2018 (Annexure P-8), the respondents are again seeking to fill up the same post, by resorting to similar arrangement. Thus, it is submitted that the petitioner has a preferential right to be considered for employment. And, he has even represented to the respondents in this regard, vide representation dated 19.2.2018 (Annexure P-10). But to no avail.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the

CWP No. 4992 of 2018

claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also his representation, dated 19.2.2018 (Annexure P-10), within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

01.3.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO