

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 4968 of 2018
Date of Decision 01.3.2018**

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Shashi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

A writ in the nature of Certiorari is prayed for, to set aside the merit list of the candidates, who have since been selected for the post of Fitter Instructor (ITI/CTI), dated 11.11.2016 (Annexure P-3), and to direct the respondents to prepare the merit list afresh of the candidates, after excluding the names of respondents No. 3 to 5, in terms of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in **Indra Sawhney Vs. Union of India, AIR 1993 SC 477**. The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Likewise, even the representation, dated 26.12.2016 (Annexure P-6), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

CWP No. 4968 of 2018

-2-

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to consider and decide the claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also his representation, dated 26.12.2016 (Annexure P-6), within a period of six weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

01.3.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO