

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4908-2018

Date of decision: 28.02.2018

Arvinderjit Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State Bank of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.P.S. MANN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate, with
Mr. Mahir Sood, Advocate, for the petitioners.

* * *

T.P.S. MANN, J. (Oral)

In view of the statutory remedy of appeal available to the petitioners against the impugned order under Section 18 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (for short the 'Act'), learned counsel for the petitioners seek to withdraw the present petition to enable the petitioners to avail of such remedy.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

It has been brought to our notice that the application filed by the respondent-Bank for taking possession of H. No.1284, Sector-34-C, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'the property in question') with police help is listed before the District Magistrate

for 01.03.2018. That being so, only to enable the petitioners to avail of their afore-referred statutory remedy, it is directed that for a period of fifteen days from today, the petitioners shall not be dispossessed from the property in question.

**(T.P.S. MANN)
JUDGE**

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

February 28, 2018
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned	No
Whether reportable	No