

226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.490 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.05.2018**

M/s Pee Aar International Pvt. Ltd.

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

**CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA**

Present:- Mr. Jagmohan Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Chander Goyal, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sunish Bindlish, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, ACJ.(ORAL)

In this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, seeking quashing of Show Cause Notice dated 31.10.2017 appended as Annexure P-6 issued by respondent No.3 by claiming that the same was without jurisdiction and was issued after the expiry of 03 years from date of export, called upon the petitioner to Show Cause as to why drawback to the tune of ₹17,82,196/- availed during 2012 (up to December 2012) and should not be recovered from the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 stated that during the pendency of the writ petition, the Show Cause Notice has been

adjudicated and an order thereon has been passed on 07.05.2018.

Accordingly a prayer was made that the writ petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

3. Counsel for the petitioner stated that he has no instructions regarding the order dated 07.05.2018 and the same has not been received till date.

4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file an application for revival of the petition in case no order as stated by learned counsel for the respondent has been passed on 07.05.2018.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

16.05.2018

vandana

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No