

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 4862 of 2018
Date of Decision 28.2.2018**

Babita

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Supervisor
(Female) under EBPB category, pursuant to an advertisement dated
30.12.2016 (Annexure P-1). She appeared in the written examination that
was held on 30.4.2017. In terms of the answer key uploaded by the

CWP No. 4862 of 2018

-2-

Commission on its website on 20.5.2017, the petitioner had secured 78 marks, and was called for scrutiny of documents on 3.7.2017. However, in the list of short-listed candidates, who were called for interview, for 22.2.2018, name and roll number of the petitioner was not depicted, even though the last candidate, who was called for interview in her category, had obtained 76 marks, whereas, the petitioner had secured 78 marks. The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard, vide representations dated 16.2.2018 and 22.2.2018 (Annexures P-12 and P-13, respectively). But to no avail.

In response, learned State counsel submits that even though the selection process has since been concluded, but the results in the category of the petitioner have not yet been pronounced. He submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also her representations, dated 16.2.2018 and 22.2.2018 (Annexures P-12 and P-13, respectively), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a week from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

28.2.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES / NO
YES / NO