

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7424 of 2017

Date of Decision : 14.02.2018

Ms. Rai Shree and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.S.P.Chahar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners refers to the stand set out in paragraph 6 of the written statement wherein, it is stated that the petitioners were not being replaced owing to the advertisement dated 28.3.2017 (Annexure P-6). For the petitioners were working as Computer Operators and the 10 posts that were advertised were of Clerk-cum-Computer Operators. And, no action was contemplated to replace the Computer Operators with Clerk-cum-Computer Operators either. Further, in paragraph 11(i) it is averred that the Computer Operators were still working as per sanctions which have not been withdrawn.

That being so, learned counsel for the parties submit that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of, stand of the respondents, as indicated earlier.

Ordered accordingly.

14.02.2018

Manoj Bhutani

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No