

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 4730 of 2018
Date of decision: 26.02.2018**

Surender Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Additional A.G. Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is
being finally disposed of.

The petitioner is competing for selection to the post of heavy
vehicle driver in the EBPG category. He purports to have qualified the
written examination, and was called for driving test and scrutiny of
documents on 7.12.2017. He alleged to have qualified the driving test, and
his documents were also duly scrutinised. However, in the list of the short
listed candidates, who have been called for interview, name and roll number
of the petitioner was not depicted. It is urged that in terms of the answer key
uploaded by the Commission on its website, the petitioner had obtained 110
marks. Whereas, the last candidate, in the category of the petitioner, who had

CWP No. 4730 of 2018

obtained merely 56 marks, has since been called for interview. The petitioner, vide representation dated 16.2.2018 (Annexure P-7), purports to have even represented to the respondents, but to no avail. It is urged that interviews that were scheduled from 25.2.2018 to 1.3.2018, are already underway, and in the event the petitioner is not permitted to participate, he shall suffer an incalculable loss.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his representation, dated 16.2.2018 (Annexure P-7), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents today itself. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner through his counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof. On the contrary, if the petitioner is found suitable and, rather, ought not to have been ignored, the necessary intimation shall be sent to him, in time, so as to enable him to participate in the interview.

26.2.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO