

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4695 of 2018
Date of decision: 27.02.2018

Kitab Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. GS Gopera, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. Copy furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement/counter affidavit on behalf of the respondent is indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The respondent-Commission advertised 734 posts of Heavy Vehicle Drivers, and the petitioner, who was fully eligible therefor, competed for selection in the General Category. The petitioner purports to have qualified the written examination held on 06.08.2017, and was called for scrutiny of documents, and for driving test. He alleged to have cleared the driving test, and even his documents were duly scrutinized by the respondents. However, in the list of short-listed candidates, called for

interview, the name and roll number of the petitioner was not depicted. It is urged, that the last candidate, in the category of the petitioner, who has been called for interview, had secured 98 marks in the written examination, but the petitioner was neither informed of the marks obtained by him nor the reason why he was not called for interview. It is urged that the interviews, that were scheduled to take place from 25.02.2018 to 01.03.2018, are underway. And, in the event the petitioner is not permitted to participate in the process, he shall suffer an incalculable loss.

In response, learned counsel for the Commission submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered, and dealt with by the respondent. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed today itself, and communicated to the petitioner through his counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

However, in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the Commission shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof. On the contrary, if he is found suitable, and rather ought to have been called for interview, the necessary intimation shall be sent to the petitioner as also to his counsel, in time, to enable the petitioner to participate in the interview.

Copy of the order be issued to the learned counsel for the parties under the signatures of Bench Secretary attached to this Court.

27.02.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO