

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4678 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.05.2018

Greater Mohali Area Development Authority through its Estate Officer
...Petitioner

Versus

Permanent Lok Adalat (PUS) and another
...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr.Aman Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.G.S.Jaspal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

CM No.7163 of 2018

This application is filed for placing on record affidavit of
respondent No.2.

CM is allowed as prayed for.

Main Case

Counsel for respondent No.2 has submitted that while allowing
their application filed under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authority
Act, 1987, the Permanent Lok adalat, vide its order dated 19.4.2017, held
that respondent No.2 is also entitled to recover service tax deducted by the
petitioner. He has submitted that respondent No.2 is now abandoning the
service tax i.e. the amount of ₹16,995/- but rest of the amount is being

claimed.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that rest of the amount shall be paid because the challenge was only to the service tax.

In view thereof, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to comply with the order of the Permanent Lok Adalat dated 19.4.2017 except for the payment of service tax. Needful shall be done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

May 25, 2018
Meenu

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No