

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4677 of 2018
Date of decision: 27.02.2018

Perna

... Petitioner

Versus

Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. RK Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Bhupender Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. AS Virk, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copy furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for the selection to the post of Assistant Professor (Commerce), for she was fully eligible therefor. She was selected, by the duly constituted Selection Committee, and was rather placed at serial No.1 in the order of merit. In terms of the Haryana Affiliated Colleges (Security of Service) Rules, 2006, the recommendations of the Selection Committee are required to be approved by the Vice Chancellor of the University. The Principal of the respondent-College had forwarded the

claim of the petitioner to respondents No.1 to 3, for approval as back as on 13.09.2017, but to no avail. Even the reminder to respondent No.3, dated 12.01.2018 (Annexure P3), has failed to evoke any response. Rather, the petitioner has referred to numerous instances, where the necessary approval was accorded by the University within a month. However, the petitioner continues to suffer, owing to the inaction, and inordinate delay at the instance of respondents No.1 to 3.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered, and dealt with by the respondents within the period of 2 weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

However, in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

27.02.2018
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO