

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4651 of 2018
Date of decision: 26.02.2018

Sandeep Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Lajpat Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The respondent-Commission advertised 734 posts of Heavy Vehicle Drivers, and the petitioner, who was fully eligible therefor, competed for selection in the General Category. The petitioner purports to have appeared in the written examination, held on 06.08.2017. And as per the answer key, uploaded by the Commission on its website, answers of 51 questions, that the petitioner had attempted, were correct. He qualified the written examination, as also the driving test, and his documents were duly

scrutinized. However, in the list of the short-listed candidates, called for interview, circulated by the Commission on 12.02.2018, name and roll number of the petitioner is not depicted. His grievance is; that although he had secured 104 marks, but the candidate, in his category, who had merely secured 98 marks, has since been called for interview. The petitioner purports to have even represented to the respondents, vide representation dated 15.02.2018 (Annexure P6). But to no avail. Further, the interviews that were slated to take place w.e.f. 25.02.2018 to 01.03.2018, are already underway. It is contended that in the event the petitioner is not permitted to participate in the process, he shall suffer an incalculable loss.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also his representation dated 15.02.2018 (Annexure P6), shall be considered and dealt with by the Commission today itself. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner through his counsel, in time.

That beings so, the petition is disposed of in the above terms.

In the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent-Commission shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

Copy of the order be issued to the learned counsel for the parties under the signatures of Bench Secretary attached to this Court.

26.02.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO