

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4642 of 2018
Date of decision: 27.02.2018

Raja Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. SK Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is employed with the respondent-department as part-time Sweeper. He had joined on 30.09.1993, and his services were regularized w.e.f. 08.08.2014. The limited grievance that the petitioner has is; that in terms of the Government instructions dated 11.11.2003/27.02.2004 (Annexure P5 Colly.), the petitioner ought to have been regularized w.e.f. 27.09.2003. Particularly, when one Inder Singh s/o Jug Lal (respondent No.4), who was appointed on 05.11.1993, was regularized w.e.f. 27.09.2003. Thus, it is urged that services of the petitioner too ought to have been regularized from the same date. The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the legal notice dated 25.11.2017 (Annexure P6), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also his representation dated 25.11.2017 (Annexure P6), within

a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

27.02.2018
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO