

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.123

Civil Writ Petition No.4555 of 2018
DECIDED ON: February 26, 2018

SUMAN

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.2 & 3 to release the claim of the petitioner (P-5) filed under the scheme (P-4) on account of death of her husband while working in the fields.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that husband of the petitioner namely Sh. Ram Mehar was working as a field labourer in village Teha District Sonipat. On September 04, 2015, husband of the petitioner was died while working on the fields. In the year 2013, Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board has launched a scheme in the name of “*Mukhya Mantri Kishan Evam Khetihar Mazdoor Jiwan Suraksha*

Civil Writ Petition No.4555 of 2018

Yojna, 2013” (P-4). Therefore, petitioner filed a claim application along with her affidavit and other relevant documents, before respondent No.2 under the above mentioned Scheme (P-4) but nothing has been done by the respondent-department. Aggrieved from the irresponsible behaviour of the respondents-department, petitioner moved a representation-cum-reminder dated October 23, 2017 (P-7) to respondent No.2, but no fruitful result comes out as neither the respondent authorities has decided her representation nor they have released the claim of the petitioner comes under the scheme.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid representation (P-7) in a time bound manner.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the aforesaid representation dated October 23, 2017 (P-7) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules, regulations and instructions issued by the Government from time to time, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, non compliance of this order, petitioner shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

February 26, 2018

Ankur

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**