

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.4516 of 2018

Date of Decision: 23.02.2018

Bhim Singh Panch

....Petitioner

Versus

Director, Rural Development and Panchayats,
Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. B.S. Ichhewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the selection of respondent No.4 as authorized *panch*, vide resolution dated 16.02.2018 passed in presence of respondent No.3 i.e Block Development and Panchayats Officer, Nabha.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is aggrieved by election of respondent No.4 on the ground that the authorized *panch* should be of the same category of *sarpanch* as the *Sarpanch*, who belongs to Scheduled Caste category, has already been suspended. Moreover, the *Panch*, who has now been elected, has also encroached upon the land of *Gram Panchayat*. A complaint has already been made against the authorized *Panch* by respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to show as to how the authorized *Panch* is to be elected. It appears that the authorized

Panch has been elected with the majority of votes of the *Panches* and in case, any grouse is there against him regarding illegal encroachment or criminal background, the petitioner is at liberty to make representation to the competent authority.

In view of the above, no ground is made out to quash resolution dated 16.02.2018 (Annexure P-1).

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

23.02.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No