

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.4475 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 23, 2018**

ANITA KAPOOR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. P.M. Anand, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to consider and dispose of legal notice dated 22.11.2017.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide legal notice dated 22.11.2017 (Annexure P-1), within some prescribed period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her legal notice dated 22.11.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to decide the same in terms of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 9347 of 2016 (O&M), captioned as

“Jagat Singh Yadav v. State of Haryana and others, decided on 20.02.2017, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to her as well as to approach this Court.

FEBRUARY 23, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>