

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4428-2018

Date of decision-23.02.2018

Jagdish Rai

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Surmukh Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representations dated 02.08.2017 and 15.11.2017 (Annexures P-9 and P-10) and consider the claim of the petitioner for the promotion from the Lecturer cadre to post of Principal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1- Secretary, Government of Punjab, Department of Education (Schools) Punjab, Civil Secretariat-2, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representations dated 02.08.2017 and 15.11.2017 (Annexures P-9 and P-10).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-

Secretary, Government of Punjab, Department of Education (Schools) Punjab, Civil Secretariat-2, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representations dated 02.08.2017 and 15.11.2017 (Annexures P-9 and P-10) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

23.02.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No