

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

243-9

CM No.12820-CII of 2016 in/and
FAO (Arb.) No.3726 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 14.05.2018

Randhir

..... Appellant

Versus

DRO-cum-Competent Authority and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present :- Mr. K.Arun Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Virender Khatri, Advocate
for the appellant.

Dr. Sushil Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sunil K.Sahoo, Advocate
for the respondent.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

CM No.12820-CII of 2016

For the reasons recorded, the application is allowed. Delay of
635 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

FAO (Arb.) No.3726 of 2016

Learned counsel for the appellant states that the facts of the
present case are covered by the decision of this Court in the matter of
Meena and others Vs. Union of India and others, passed in ***FAO No.4325***
of 2014, decided on 29.01.2018.

Learned counsel for the respondents have accepted this fact.

I find this to be indeed so. Consequently the present appeal is disposed of in the same terms as in ***FAO No.4325 of 2014***.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

14.05.2018

Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No