

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.4393 of 2018
Date of decision: 23.02.2018

Mukesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Manoj Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner is employed as Driver with the respondent-department. He was transferred from Bhiwani to City Bus Service Faridabad, pursuant to an order dated 31.05.2017, vice Madan Jeet Driver No.529. However, vide order dated 18.12.2017 (Annexure P3), he was re-transferred to Bhiwani and driver Madan Jeet was re-posted at Faridabad. Not just that, vide impugned order dated 19.02.2018 (Annexure P5), the petitioner has again been transferred from Bhiwani to Faridabad, barely after

two months of his transfer to Bhiwani. Thus, the petitioner is a victim of multiple transfers, that are in breach of the transfer policies of the Government.

In response, learned State counsel submits, that this petition itself shall be treated as representation on behalf of the petitioner, and the respondent-authorities shall pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law, within a week from today. And, till the necessary orders are passed, the petitioner shall not be relieved from his current posting i.e. Bhiwani.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

In the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

23.02.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO