

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4379 of 2018

Date of Decision: 22.02.2018

Kanchan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Gopal Soni, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

No reservation was earmarked for physically handicapped candidates suffering from locomotor disability in the advertisement No.7 of 2016 for the re-advertised post of Supervisor (Female). That is why the petitioner had applied in the General category. Today, it is argued that while filling the online application form by mistake she applied under General category and accordingly, she should be considered in the category of physically handicapped. She says that she tried to correct the mistake on the computer but her request was barred.

In these circumstances, the petitioner's case could only be considered in the General category in which she fails to make the cut. A mandamus will not issue to create sub-reservation where there is none prescribed in the advertisement.

The petitioner argues that PHC is independent of PHC-LV.

This is a misreading of the category code. Only low vision candidates were

eligible to apply but not locomotor handicapped.

No ground is made out for interference.

The petition stands dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

22.02.2018
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No