

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4334 of 2018
Date of decision: 21.02.2018

Indu Sharma and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The petitioners are competing for selection to the post of Supervisor (female) in category No. 18, i.e. EBPG. They purport to have qualified the written examination and secured 88 and 78 marks respectively, as per the answer key published on the website of the Commission. They were called for scrutiny of documents on 3.7.2017. But, their names and

roll numbers are not reflected in the list of short listed candidates, who have been called for interview. It is urged that the last candidate in the category of the petitioners, who have been called for interview, had obtained 76 marks in the written examination, whereas, the petitioner had secured higher marks. The petitioners even purport to have represented to the respondents in this regard, vide representation, dated 16.2.2018 (Annexure P-6). But to no avail.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioners as also their representation, dated 16.2.2018 (Annexure P-6), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents today itself. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioners through their counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. Needless to assert that if the petitioners are fully eligible and ought to have been called for interview, the necessary intimation shall be issued to them today itself. Whereas, if their claim is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

21.2.2018
Manoj Bhutani

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES

Whether Reportable: NO