

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4298 of 2018
Date of decision: 21.02.2018

Anita Rani Yadav

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Madan Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The petitioner is competing for selection to the post of Supervisor (female) pursuant to an advertisement dated 30.12.2016 (Annexure P-1), in the BCB category. She purports to have qualified the written examination and secured 116 marks. She was called for scrutiny of documents on 3.7.2017. But, in the notice issued to the short listed

the petitioner is not reflected. The grievance of the petitioner is that the last candidate in her category, who has been called for interview, had obtained 104 marks (cut-off), whereas, the petitioner, as indicated above, had obtained 116 marks in the written examination. The petitioner purports to have even served the respondents with a representation dated 12.02.2018 (Annexure P-5). But to no avail. It is urged that the interview is scheduled to take place on 22.02.2018, and in the event the petitioner is not permitted to participate in the interview process she shall suffer an incalculable loss.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner as also her representation, dated 12.2.2018 (Annexure P-5), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents today itself. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner through her counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. Needless to assert that if the petitioner is found to be eligible, and ought to have been called for interview, the necessary intimation shall be issued to her today itself, in time. However, if her claim is declined for any reasons, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

21.2.2018
Manoj Bhutani

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES

Whether Reportable: NO