

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.4269 of 2018

Date of Decision: 22.02.2018

Major Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. A.P. Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners are aggrieved by order dated 01.02.2018 (Annexure P-19) whereby the stay application filed by them along with the appeal under Section 20(6) of Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 against order dated 18.12.2017 (Annexure P-16) passed by respondent No.2 has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the appeal is still pending for 01.03.2018 and the application for interim order has been dismissed without saying anything on the merits of the case by holding that the balance of convenience to stay the order of suspension is not in favour of the appellants. Learned counsel also submits that a wrong finding has been recorded in the order that it was the duty of the panches to start the work in the village by attending the meetings and same has not been done by them.

Heard the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioners.

Since the appeal is pending for hearing on 01.03.2018 and only

the application has been decided, it would be in the interest of justice to dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to hear the pending appeal on the next date of hearing i.e 01.03.2018 and in case, for any sufficient and justified reason, the appeal is not heard on that day then the same be decided within a period not more than two weeks thereafter.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

22.02.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No