

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 4196 of 2018
Date of decision: 21.02.2018**

Anita Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The petitioner is competing for selection to the post of Supervisor (female) in category No. 18, i.e. EBPG. She purports to have qualified the written examination and secured 100 marks, as per the answer key published on the website of the Commission. She was called for scrutiny of documents on 3.7.2017. But, her name and roll number is not reflected in the list of short listed candidates, who have been called for interview. It is urged that the last candidate in the category of the petitioner, who has been called for interview, had obtained 76 marks in the written

CWP No. 4196 of 2018

-2-

examination, whereas, the petitioner had secured 100 marks. The petitioner purports to have even represented to the respondents in this regard, vide representation, dated 16.2.2018 (Annexure P-11). But to no avail.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner as also her representation, dated 16.2.2018 (Annexure P-11), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents today itself. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner through her counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. Needless to assert that if the petitioner is fully eligible and ought to have been called for interview, the necessary intimation shall be issued to her today itself. Whereas, if her claim is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

21.2.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO