

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

- 1 -

CWP-4148-2018

224

Date of Decision: 27.04.2018

AZHARUDDIN

...Petitioner(s).

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondent(s).

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Ms. Manjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Mohd. Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.

The petitioner through the instant petition, which has been filed in the nature of Public Interest Litigation, has sought a direction to the respondents to utilize the newly constructed bus stand at Tauru-Mewat.

2. The petitioner, who is stated to be resident of State of Haryana, has submitted that in view of the difficulty being faced by the people of the region, the Government had constructed bus stand in Tauru District Mewat after substantial investment. Land measuring 9 kanal 8 marla had been transferred in the name of Haryana State Transport by Market Committee, Tauru for a consideration of ₹ 1,00,05,034/-. Although the foundation stone of the Tauru bus stand was laid on 12.12.1995 but the construction work commenced on 12.09.2014 which was completed on 20.03.2016. It is further stated that the bus stand is complete in all respects but it is not being utilized by the State Transport Department as buses do not stop at

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

- 2 -

CWP-4148-2018

the bus stand and there is no to and fro facility for the passengers belonging to the area.

3. Learned State counsel has filed affidavit of General Manager, Haryana Roadways, Nuh on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 and 5 wherein it is stated that all the formalities including construction of bus queue shelter and booths of bus stand have been completed. The buses have started plying and are being operated from Tauru Bus Stand to other places. Photographs of the bus stand in this regard have been annexed as Annexures R/1 to R/7.

4. At this juncture, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has contended that the bus stand has not been made operational till date.

5. Learned State counsel has assured the Court that, if any further steps are required to improve the bus services, the same shall be looked into by the respondents.

6. In view of the above, we are of the view that the grievance of the petitioner has been substantially redressed. Therefore, no directions are called for in the matter. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to revive this petition, in case bus services are not being provided at the Tauru bus stand.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

27.04.2018

SwarnjitS