

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-4076-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 11.07.2018

Saurav Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Mr. Anjum Ahmed, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

An adjournment is being sought on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner. We have gone through the record. Order sheet indicates that when this matter was taken up by the Court for the first time on 20.02.2018, the following order was passed:-

*"Learned counsel for the petitioner
prays for time to show that the present
writ petition is maintainable as Public
Interest Litigation under the
Maintainability of Public Interest
Litigation Rules, 2010.*

Adjourned to 08.03.2018"

2. On 08.03.2018, the matter was again adjourned on a written request made by learned counsel for the petitioner to 16.04.2018. On the said date, again adjournment was sought by learned counsel for the petitioner which was allowed and that is how the matter is before us today. Again a request for adjournment has been made which we are not inclined to grant and the same is rejected.

3. Since the learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to substantiate that this writ petition is maintainable as public interest litigation under the Maintainability of Public Interest Litigation Rules, 2010, the petition is dismissed as not maintainable.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

11.07.2018
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No