

**CWP No. 4056 of 2018
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 20, 2018**

BHAVNA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant her family pension, gratuity (DCRG), Ex-gratia, provident fund along-with arrears and interest with regard to the services rendered by her husband late Prahlad Singh with the police department.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that her husband late Prahlad Singh died in harness on 20.08.2013 and she is entitled to receive the pensionary benefits as per the old pension Scheme, which was applicable to the employees recruited in Punjab Government Service prior to 01.01.2004. He further submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely

covered under the guidelines of judgments rendered by this Court in *CWP No. 2371 of 2010, titled as Harbans Lal vs. State of Punjab (P-2), CWP No. 1665 of 2017 titled as Budhi Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 09.08.2017 (P-5), CWP No. 11802 of 2008, titled as Pramjit Kaur vs. State of Punjab and others (P-6) and CWP No. 27548 of 2017, titled as Amarjit Kaur vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 14.12.2017 (P-7).*

Petitioner also moved a representation 10.11.2017 (P-8) but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide the afore-said representation (P-8) within some stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representation (Annexure P-8) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations, instructions issued by the Government from time to time as well as in view of judgments referred in para 2 of this order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

February 20, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*