

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-3180-2010 (O&M)

Date of decision : 30.5.2018

Palwinder Kaur

.....Appellant

Versus

Neelam Rani and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Rajni Maurya, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate for the appellant.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

As per office report, correct addresses of respondents No. 1 to 3 have not been filed despite granting last opportunity. Hence, appeal qua respondents No. 1 to 3 is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Respondent No. 4 is proforma respondent and no relief is claimed against him. Hence, service upon respondent No. 4 is dispensed with.

In view of above, present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

30.5.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No