

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3874 of 2018
Date of decision: 19.02.2018

Satbir Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Chief Administrator, Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sec-6,
Panchkula and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. SK Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek a direction to the respondents to include their names in the seniority list of Accounts Assistant and they be further considered for promotion to the post of Accountant along with their juniors.

The petitioners were appointed as Clerks in the years 1985 and 1988, respectively. Pursuant to an order dated 10.09.2007 (Annexure P1), they were promoted to the post of Accounts Assistant. The limited grievance that they have is that their names were not included in the seniority list of Accounts Assistant circulated by the department on 07.12.2013. Further, one Jashpal Singh has been assigned serial No.78 in the said seniority list, whereas he happened to be junior to the petitioners, and is being considered for promotion to the post of Accountant. The petitioners purport to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the legal notice dated 21.11.2017 (Annexure P7 Colly.), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also their legal notice dated 21.11.2017 (Annexure P7 Colly.), within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event the claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

19.02.2018

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES

Whether Reportable:

NO