

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3857 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 19, 2018

SAT PAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

BHAKRA BEAS MANAGEMENT BOARD
AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. H.S. Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to count previous work charge service w.e.f. 01.12.1977 to 11.08.1993 rendered by him with respondent No.4 as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary benefits.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 23.11.2017 (Annexure P-7) was duly served upon the respondents but till date neither any reply has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the

instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 23.11.2017 (Annexure P-7) and to decide the same as per Rules, Regulations and instructions issued by government from time to time as well as in the light of judgments already referred in the aforesaid legal notice, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to him as well as to approach this Court.

FEBRUARY 19, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>