

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6524-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.09.2018

Arjun Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

CM-6031-CWP-2018

Application is allowed and the petition is dismissed as withdrawn qua petitioner No. 2.

Main case

This order shall dispose of five writ petitions bearing CWP Nos. 6524, 2731, 6186, 11350 and 8418 of 2017. However, the facts are being extracted from CWP No. 6524 of 2017.

The issue in the present writ petition is squarely covered in favour of the petitioners as per the decision given by the *LPA No. 362 of 2018 titled Haryana Staff Selection Commission V/s. Priyanka and others*, decided on 10.08.2018.

The brief facts of the case are that the Haryana Staff Selection Commission advertised various posts of PGT in different disciplines. The last date for receiving the online application was 12.10.2015. However, the results of some of the students have not been declared by the Universities before the cut off date. The students applied for their results confidentially

which the Universities gave them. On the basis of the confidential result, they applied before the cut off date i.e. 12.10.2015 and the result was finally declared after passing of the cut off date. The single Bench of this Court allowed ***CWP No. 27021 of 2016 titled Priyanka and others V/s. State of Haryana and others***, decided on 23.10.2017, by giving direction to the Haryana Staff Selection Commission to consider the petitioners eligible keeping in view the confidential result which was given by the Universities. ***LPA No. 362 of 2018*** has also been dismissed by observing that the cut off date was given for applying the post and the Haryana Staff Selection Commission should have noticed that if the other Universities are unable to declare the result in time, suitable amendments can be made in the Rules and/or the condition to see that the date of eligibility is to be seen as on the date of scrutiny or the interview. Some of the institutions have already made such amendments.

In the present case as well, the petitioners had got confidential results from different Universities. Hence, the petition is being allowed in view of the judgment passed in ***LPA No. 362 of 2018***.

05.09.2018

Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:
:

Yes/No
Yes/No