

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.384 of 2018

Date of Decision : 23.02.2018

Delhi Public School, Bhiwani

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Sharma and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Arjun Partap Atma Ram, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Being aggrieved by the impugned judgment dated 14.12.2017 (Annexure P-8), rendered by the Education Tribunal, the management has approached this Court, vide this petition. On 11.01.2018, notice of motion was issued to respondent No.1, for today. Office report indicates that he has since been served, though none has caused appearance on his behalf.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits, that during the pendency of this petition, the dispute between the parties has since been settled, vide a compromise dated 22.02.2018, and in terms thereof, respondent No.1 has since been paid a sum of Rs.10,00,000/-. Further, in terms of clause (vi) of the compromise, the respondent No.1 had undertaken not to seek execution/implementation of the impugned judgment, and had rather expressed no objection, if the decision rendered by the Tribunal was set aside. Respondent No.1 had also furnished an affidavit dated 22.02.2018, in terms of the compromise. A copy of the compromise as also the affidavit are produced

by the learned counsel for the petitioner, which are taken on record as Mark A and B. It is obvious that as the matter stands duly resolved, respondent No.1 has not chosen to appear despite service.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of in terms of the compromise dated 22.02.2018, arrived at between the parties.

23.02.2018
Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No