

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3792 of 2018
Date of decision: 17.02.2018

Ashok Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vikas Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of PGT History, pursuant to an advertisement dated 28.06.2015, under SC-B Category. He purports to have qualified the written examination and had secured 107 marks. Along with the other short-listed candidates the petitioner too was called for scrutiny of documents. His grievance is that a candidate in his category, who had obtained lesser marks i.e. 102, was called for interview, whereas for an intangible reason the candidature of the petitioner was ignored. He alleged to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the representation dated 20.02.2017 (Annexure P5) has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner, dated 20.02.2017 (Annexure P5), within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

17.02.2018
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO