

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 3694 of 2018

Date of Decision : 18.12.2018

Harmeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Saravpreet S. Gurna, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chibber Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present case, the petitioner has approached this Court for a direction to implement the order passed by this Court in CWP No. 22279 of 2016, decided on 26.10.2016 (Annexure P-6).

A bare perusal of the order dated 26.10.2016 (Annexure P-6) would show that the writ petition was disposed of by this Court by giving a direction to the respondent to decide the claim of the petitioners in view of the decision in CWP No. 9294 of 1993, decided on 21.01.2010 as well as CWP No. 27463 of 2013, decided on 21.05.2015.

By the abovesaid order, only the writ petitions were disposed of for deciding the claim of the petitioners in view of the law laid down by this Court in an earlier litigation mentioned hereinbefore.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has

also given representation dated 15.12.2017 (Annexure P-10) in this regard and at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by taking into consideration the decision of the writ petitions mentioned hereinbefore.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that during the pendency of the present writ petition, another writ petition bearing CWP No. 13545 of 2015 has been decided on 13.11.2018, which will have a bearing in respect of the claim of the petitioner as raised in the representation which needs to be taken into account by the respondents while deciding the representation.

Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection for passing appropriate orders on the representation filed by the petitioner, as being prayed by the petitioner mentioned hereinbefore.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass appropriate orders within a period of three months from today by taking into consideration the decisions of this Court noticed hereinbefore and also the Rules governing the service. Speaking order dealing with all the contentions raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition.

In case after the decision of the representation, the petitioner is found entitled for any monetary benefit, the same should also be released to him within a period of next two months.

December 18, 2018

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No