

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP no.3675 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 16.02.2018

Surinder Paul

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present : Mr.R.V.S.Chugh, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)

No serious argument has been advanced to challenge the process adopted by the State resorting to progressive bidding in auctioning mining operation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he has a subsisting lease but candidly concedes that e-auction floated by the respondents does not pertain to it .

If that be so, then we fail to understand the prejudice to the petitioner, particularly, when no legal submission has been advanced to question the process of progressive bidding adopted by the State. No ground to interfere is made out. Hence, dismissed.

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge

16.02.2018

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

(Rajbir Sehrawat)
Judge