

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 351 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: JANUARY 11, 2018

KUNWAR PAL SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

FOOD CORP. OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar Bata, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature mandamus, directing the respondents to grant benefit of promotion to him as Technical Assistant-I in pursuance of order dated 19.04.2011 (Annexure P-4) as well as subsequent promotion(s) to the post of Manager (QC) with all benefits along with interest.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that though the petitioner was already promoted as Technical Assistant-I vide order dated 19.04.2011 but the said order was not complied with simply on the ground that an information has been sought from General Manager, Food Corporation of India, Regional Office, Patna (Bihar) where he was earlier served the corporation with regard to the latest position of some FIR but till date no response has been received by the office as per his information. However, the

petitioner stood retired on 31.12.2015 on attaining the age of superannuation. The petitioner moved various representations but no response was received and ultimately, he served legal notice dated 08.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) upon the respondents, which also did not fetch any reply. Till date no conscious decision has been taken.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to consider the case set up in the legal notice dated 08.09.2017 (P-11) in a time bound manner.

4. Taking into consideration the aforesaid aspect but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents to consider the case unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 08.09.2017 (Annexure P-11) and take a conscious decision, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

5. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

JANUARY 11, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>