

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.3496 of 2018 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 15.02.2018

Dharambir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Director, Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Haryana
and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN, JUDGE.

Present: Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

..

S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE: (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who was present in Court, accepts notice and sought time to take instructions. The matter was, therefore, mentioned again towards the end of the Court working day.

Mr. Balyan stated that the respondents have decided to extend the period for submitting the bids by at least five days for all the districts. In other words, the last date would not be before 20.02.2018.

This would redress the petitioner's grievance which is limited to the effect that the altered term was introduced on 12.02.2018 but the last date for submitting the bids was also retained as 12.02.2018. The petitioner's grievance, therefore, stands redressed and no further orders are, therefore, required in this writ petition.

We must observe here, however, that the respondents must consider this case further as to the effect of this decision on the parties that have already submitted their bids and those who may not have submitted their bids. The parties who have already submitted their bids may have submitted different bids had the corrigendum been issued before the original stipulated last date for submitting the bids. There may also be parties who had not submitted the bids in view of the original terms and conditions but may have participated in the tender process had the terms and conditions been altered as per the corrigendum. There is a possibility, therefore, of the parties who have submitted the bids and the parties who have not participated in the tender process being prejudiced. That does not affect the petitioner but it certainly is an aspect which the respondents may take into consideration regarding the future course of action in the tender process.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

15.02.2018
ravinder

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking Whether reportable: YES/NO
