

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3462 of 2018

Date of Decision : 15.02.2018

Mohammad Ali

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Kulwant Singh Dhanora, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Confined to respondents No.1 to 5 only, at this stage.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copy furnished.

In the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement(s)/counter affidavit(s), on behalf of the respondents, is indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties the petition is being disposed of finally.

The limited grievance that the petitioner has is that he is assigned a position at serial No.63 in the seniority list of Junior Engineers (Annexure P-1). But vide order dated 18.01.2018, the department had promoted one Jagdish Prasad (respondent No.6), to the post of Sub Divisional Engineer (Civil), even though he happened to be junior to the petitioner, and was assigned seniority at Sr. No.67 in the seniority list. It is contended that for

some intangible reasons the respondents have ignored the claim of the petitioner for promotion. Although, neither any complaint or inquiry is pending against the petitioner.

In response, learned State counsel submits that this petition itself shall be considered as representation on behalf of the petitioner and his grievance shall be dealt with within a period of three months from today. And, appropriate orders in accordance with law shall be passed.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondents-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

15.02.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No