

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 3425 of 2018
Date of Decision 15.2.2018**

Gyano Devi

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner was engaged as part-time Sweeper/Water Carrier with the Education Department on 1.8.1988. And her services were regularised w.e.f. 8.8.2014, vide order (Annexure P-3). The limited grievance that the petitioner has is; that one Shish Pal son of Mani Ram, who was appointed much later than the petitioner i.e. on 1.1.1991 as part-time Sweeper, has since been regularised w.e.f. 1.3.1999, vide order dated 18.10.2011 (Annexure P-4). Thus, her grievance is that she too ought to have been regularised from the same date. The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents. But to no avail. Even a legal notice, dated 16.12.2017 (Annexure P-6), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also her legal notice dated 16.12.2017 (Annexure P-6), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

15.2.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES / NO
YES / NO