

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3418 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: FEBRUARY 15, 2018**

RAJ KUMAR SAINI AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Arun Abrol, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ of mandamus for a direction to the respondents to grant the benefit of full pension after rendering minimum qualifying service of 25 years to the petitioners as granted to their counterparts working in the Government Schools, in view of the instructions (Annexures P-7 and P-8) issued by the Government from time to time along with interest @ 9% per annum.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide the representation dated 22.12.2017 (Annexure P-10), within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation

dated 22.12.2017 (P-10) and to decide the same in the light of instructions 15.12.2011 and 13.06.2012 (Annexures P-7 & P-8 respectively), within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case, the petitioners still feel aggrieved against any or the order passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to them as well as to approach this Court.

FEBRUARY 15, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>