

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 3387 of 2018
Date of Decision 15.2.2018**

Harvinder Singh

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Shilak Ram Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner prays for a direction to the respondents to consider his claim for promotion from the post of Canal Guard (Class IV) to the post of Computer Operator, Clerk or Storekeeper (Class III), for six Class III posts are lying vacant with the respondent department. And the petitioner is the only eligible candidate in the office of respondent No.5. Further, as the petitioner is working as Computer Operator under respondent No.5 since July 19, 2013, although in the scale of Class IV post, he is also entitled for the same scale, i.e. ₹9300-34800+3600 (Grade Pay), as is admissible to the Computer Operator. And, since he has also acquired higher qualification, he is entitled to two additional service increments, in terms of the policy dated 24.4.2014 (Annexure P-11).

The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. The representations dated 10.6.2015 (Annexure P-14) as also 2.5.2016 (Annexure P-16), the respondents have been served with, have failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also his representations, dated 10.6.2015 (Annexure P-14) and 2.5.2016 (Annexure P-16), within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

15.2.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES / NO
YES / NO